



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/998,009	11/28/2001	Marina Konopleva	UTSC:652US	7245
7590 07/11/2005		EXAMINER		
Priya D. Subramony			COOK, REBECCA	
Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P. 600 Congress Avenue, Suite 2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Austin, TX 78			1614	
			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	09/998,009	KONOPLEVA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rebecca Cook	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 April 2005.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-79</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 9,10,24,28-32 and 57-79 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-8, 11-23, 25-27, 33-56</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date						

Application/Control Number: 09/998,009 Page 2

Art Unit: 1614

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Status Identifiers**

The status identifiers for claims 67-79 are confusing. In the listing of claims the Applicants have identified them as being canceled. However, in the Remarks, Applicants list them as withdrawn. To advance prosecution the claims will be examined as if claims 67-79 are canceled.

Claims 9-10, 24, 28-32 and 57-66 are withdrawn. Claims 1-8, 11-23, 25-27 and 33-56 are under examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-8, 11-23, 25-27 and 33-56 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention for the reason given in the Paper of October 20, 2004.

Applicants argue that the claims (claim 1) were amended to clarify the issue of effective amounts. This is not persuasive. It is not clear that the CDDO-compound and the chemotherapeutic agent are each provided in an effective amount or whether subtherapeutic amounts of each can be present but that the combination together yields an effective amount.

Additionally, Applicants' argument as to whether the method is *in vivo* or *in vitro* that the claims are generic is not understood.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8, 11-23, 25-27 and 33-56 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito, Konopleva (C16) or Konopleva (C29) [Primary References] in view of Castaigne, Drach or Estey (C9) [Secondary References] for the reason given in the Paper of October 20, 2005.

Applicants' argument that there is no motivation in the references to combine one drug with the other and the fact that they have both been used to treat the same disease is not sufficient motivation is not persuasive. It is not necessary for there to be an express suggestion of combining the reference disclosures. It is prima facie obvious to combine two compounds each of which is taught by the art to be useful for the same purpose. The idea of combining them flows from their having been individually taught in the prior art. In re Kerkhoven 205 USPQ 1069.

# Double Patenting

In view of the allowance of Application No. 10/435,925 without claim 37, the earlier provisional rejection under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting is withdrawn.

#### **Action Is Final**

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 4

Art Unit: 1614

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Cook whose telephone number is (571) 272-0571. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low, can be reached on (571) 272-0951.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Renee Jones (571) 272-0547 in Customer Service.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The official fax number is 571-273-8300.

Rebecca Cook

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614

July 7, 2005